

MINUTES OF 3RD REGULAR MEETING OF THE SENATE OF NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAMIRPUR (HP) HELD ON 30-12-2003 AT 2.30 PM IN THE CONFERENCE HALL OF NIT, HAMIRPUR (HP).

The following were present:-

1. Prof. Chandra Shakher  
Director, NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Chairman
2. Dr. R.L.Sharma, Prof.  
Civil Engg.Deptt.& Dean(P&D) Member
3. Dr. Virender Kumar, Prof.& Head  
Civil Engg.Deptt. Member
4. Dr. A.S.Singha, Prof.& Head,  
Applied Sc.& Hum.Deptt.and Dean(Acad) Member
5. Dr. Sushil Chauhan,Head,  
Electrical Engg.Deptt.  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
6. Dr. Sunand Gupta, Asstt. Prof. & Head,  
Mech.Engg.Deptt.  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
7. Dr. Lalit Kumar, Asstt.Prof.& Head,  
Comp.Sc.& Engg.  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
8. Sh. Vinod Kapoor, Asstt.Prof. & Head,  
Electronics & Comm.Engg.  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
9. Sh. Bhanu Marwaha, Asstt.Prof.& Head,  
Architecture Deptt.  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
10. Chief Warden (Hostels)  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Member
11. Dr. A.K.Gupta,  
Asstt.Prof,Civil Engg.Deptt.&  
Controller of Examinations,  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Special Invitee
12. Sh. B.C.Badalia,  
Registrar,  
NIT, Hamirpur (HP). Secretary

At the very outset the Director-cum-Chairman, Senate, National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur welcomed the learned members of the Senate and then the agenda was taken up for discussion.

#### FIRST PART

Conformation of minutes of 2nd meeting of the Senate held on 28-6-2003 at 11.00 AM.

Since no comments were received, therefore, the minutes of the last meeting held on 28-6-2003 were confirmed.

#### PART SECOND

All the item were dropped as no further action was needed.

#### THIRD PART.(NEW ITEMS)

The following decision were taken in the 3rd Senate meeting.

#### ITEM NO.3.1 SGPI/CGPI REGARDING.

##### Proposed

It has been pointed out by the students of B.Tech./B.Arch. 2nd year that the qualifying SGPI/CGPI score is quite high. As per record about 30% students are having their SGPI/CGPI less than 5.5 and 6.0 respectively. Due to this reason they have jointly requested that their qualifying SGPI/CGPI score may be lowered. They have requested that SGPI should be near to D grade(Pass grade) and CGPI may be little bit higher. Therefore it is proposed that SGPI equivalent to 4.5 and CGPI equivalent to 5.0 on 10 point scale may be kept for the benefit of the students. The matter is placed before the Senate for consideration and approval please.

##### Decision

The Senate has approved the proposal and decided that the qualifying SGPI equivalent to 4.5 and CGPI equivalent 5.0 be adopted with effect from the date from which the Grade Scheme has been implemented in this Institution.

It is submitted that on the request of the students a committee was constituted by this Institution vide office order No. Admn. 287/03-4997-5011 dated 09-09-2003 to examine the present system of transparency in examination and evaluation at NIT, Hamirpur and to suggest some measures to bring more transparency in the Examination and Evaluation system. The committee has recommended that various suggestions made by the students and faculty members may be taken into consideration while making the academic rules in future.

The Senate has approved that the following recommendations of the committee may be included in the Academic Regulation of the Institution.

1. Displaying of sessional awards on the notice board before the commencement of the end semester examination. All components of the sessional may be displayed separately.
2. Student may be given chance to see their end semester answer books before declaration of the result. This will apply both to students governed by grading system as well as marks system.
3. Solution to the problems in the examination question papers may be made available to the students.
4. Assessment performance of the students in their practical classes may be conveyed to them periodically.
5. In case a teacher find that any student is not performing well in practical class then he should warn the student(s), If he is not still improving then the same may be brought to the notice of the Head of the Department.
6. Grades may be normally distributed.
7. The faculty members should inform the students periodically about their performance.
8. Moderation of grades/award should be done by the Departmental faculty Board under the Chairmanship of HOD.

